









## Budget Stabilization Account

While 2019 through 2021 are out of balance in the January financial plan as presented by the Mayor, expenditures and revenues for 2017 and 2018 are equal, as the city is required to show the current and subsequent year in balance. In order to bring 2018 into balance, the January plan includes the prepayment of certain 2018 expenses with surplus resources from 2017. OMB expects current-year expenditures to exceed current-year revenues by \$3.1 billion. The preliminary budget for 2018 would use \$3.1 billion of excess 2017 funds, also known as the Budget Stabilization Account (BSA), to prepay some of 2018's expenses, typically debt service costs. The preliminary budget increased the BSA by \$2.6 billion since the November plan. Without the BSA funds available for prepayment of 2018 expenses, next year's budget would be out of balance and the city would be required to find other means of bringing the budget into balance.

**How the BSA Has Been Funded.** New York ended 2016 with just over \$4 billion in surplus funds, which were used to prepay debt service costs, contribute to a reserve to pay for retiree health insurances costs, and provide a subsidy to Health + Hospitals for 2017. The BSA has been an important part of balancing the city's budget for over a decade. While the current BSA of nearly \$3.1 billion, as presented in the January financial plan, is comparable in size to prior years, the way in which the city has accrued these funds is different. IBO estimates the current BSA includes just \$356 million of greater-than-expected or 'excess' tax revenues, which account for less than 11 percent of the BSA for

2017. The largest share of IBO's estimated 2017 BSA, \$1.2 billion, amounting to nearly 36 percent of this year's total, is derived from reserve funds in the current-year budget that are expected to be unneeded. In contrast, in 2013 through 2016, excess tax revenues averaged \$2.4 billion a year and accounted for an average of 78 percent of the BSA, while funds released from current-year reserves averaged \$720 million, or 23 percent. The savings programs initiated by OMB provide an additional \$1.1 billion of resources towards the BSA. The 2017 BSA also includes \$400 million of resources from the recognition of funds payable to the city from prior years that were not accounted for in those years. In the previous five years, prior-year payables accounted for an average of \$519 million of the BSA.

OMB decided to use a portion of the current year's surplus to fund \$405 million of current-year agency expenses. We estimate agency spending will be \$49 million less this year, reducing OMB's cost estimate to \$356 million. In prior years a portion of the surplus funds were used not only for prepayment and to pay for additional agency costs, but also pension costs and additional funding for the Retiree Health Benefit Trust fund.

While the 2017 BSA is comparable in size to recent years, its reliance on expenditure savings rather than excess revenue could be a troubling sign for future years. If the city were to continue to see little to no growth in taxes and other revenue above what is forecast in the out-years of the financial plan, it would need to rely more heavily on reductions in expenditures to close the budget gaps and bring the budget into balance.

### How the Budget Stabilization Account Is Funded, Fiscal Years 2012 through 2017

Dollars in millions

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Tax Revenue	\$5	\$2,049	\$2,979	\$3,129	\$1,570	\$356
Non-Tax Revenue	874	(691)	714	13	599	404
Debt Service Savings	242	97	642	449	198	168
Citywide Savings Program	465	436	-	589	1,550	1,121
Reserve Funds	260	260	410	730	1,480	1,200
Retiree Health Benefits Trust	-	-	(1,864)	(955)	(500)	-
Prior-Year Payables	500	500	\$993	100	500	400
Agency Expenses	(382)	144	(1,972)	(752)	(810)	(356)
Pension	454	(124)	(18)	44	(535)	9
Miscellaneous	44	11	125	254	(15)	3
Surplus from Prior Years	-	124	-	-	-	-
<b>Budget Stabilization Account</b>	<b>\$2,462</b>	<b>\$2,806</b>	<b>\$2,009</b>	<b>\$3,601</b>	<b>\$4,037</b>	<b>\$3,305</b>

SOURCE: IBO analysis of Mayor's Office of Management and Budget data; Comptroller's Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports

NOTE: 2017 Budget Stabilization Account totals include IBO estimates of revenues and expenses, including \$118 million in additional tax revenue, \$83 million in additional debt service savings, and \$49 million in reduced agency expenses.

New York City Independent Budget Office

---

## Cost & Savings Re-Estimates

IBO's analysis of the January financial plan finds that the de Blasio Administration is over-estimating total expenditures, including state and federal funds, for 2017 by \$31 million, but under-estimating expenditures by nearly \$685 million in 2018, \$858 million in 2019, \$901 million in 2020, and \$903 million in 2021. While underestimates of noncity-funded expenditures do not increase budget gaps, they can result in service cuts if the missing funds are not replaced.

IBO projects that the de Blasio Administration has overestimated city-funded expenditures in 2017 by \$133 million, while it underestimates city-funded expenditures by \$308 million in 2018, \$480 million in 2019, \$523 million in 2020, and \$525 million in 2021.

Some highlights of IBO's cost and saving adjustments:

*Homeless Services:* In the January financial plan \$140 million (\$71 million of city funds) were added to the Department of Homeless Services' (DHS) 2017 budget for the provision of shelter for homeless individuals and families, in addition to \$115 million (\$52 million of city funds) added in the November plan. IBO's analysis of current spending and caseload trends indicates that the de Blasio Administration's actions bring the 2017 budget to a level sufficient to accommodate the current shelter population. With the shelter population at an all-time high and few signs of an imminent decline in the census, however, IBO believes that costs related to the provision of shelter in 2018 and beyond are greatly underestimated and that additional city funds of \$165 million will be required in 2018, \$175 million in 2019, and \$186 million in 2020 and 2021. IBO's revised cost estimates assume modest increases in the shelter population through 2018.

Along with the higher city-funded spending, IBO assumes an additional \$25 million of federal and state funding in 2018 through 2021 for the increased cost of shelter services.

*Department of Education:* IBO's estimates of charter school enrollment exceed those assumed by the Department of Education (DOE) for each year of the financial plan. Our projection is based on the current number of charter seats for this year and expected growth as existing charters reach their full complement of authorized grades in future years. Each additional charter school student results in a per student payment from the DOE to the charter school. For the current year, we estimate that greater-than-expected enrollment in charter schools will cost the city an additional \$7.4 million. The difference between IBO's and OMB's forecasts of charter

school enrollment grow each year, increasing the cost to the city by nearly \$45 million in 2018, \$72 million in 2019, \$103 million in 2020, and \$135 million in 2021.

Additionally, IBO projects that DOE's estimates for Medicaid reimbursement from the federal government are overstated in the plan. DOE continues to struggle to implement the new computer system needed to meet federal requirements for processing Medicaid reimbursement claims for certain special education services. The system, which was implemented in 2013 and is currently undergoing extensive re-engineering, is still unable to meet the needs of the DOE. The Mayor's budget office has assumed that the DOE will be able to generate about \$41 million in Medicaid reimbursements for 2017, but through January the agency had claimed less than \$1 million of expenses for reimbursement. IBO estimates that this revenue will be roughly \$18 million lower-than-budgeted in the current year and \$56 million lower in each year from 2018 through 2021. In order to avoid cuts in services, lower reimbursements from the federal government will need to be offset by an equal amount of city dollars.

*Debt Service:* The January financial plan includes an \$85 million reduction of debt service costs for 2017, much of which results from lowering the assumed rate of interest on variable rate debt from 3.3 percent (tax-exempt) and 4.6 percent (taxable) to 2.5 percent (tax-exempt) and 3.3 percent (taxable). IBO believes, however, that these rate assumptions are still well above what the actual rates will be for the year. Taking into account the relation of the variable interest rates paid by the city to the federal funds rate (the interest rate at which banks lend reserve balances to other banks overnight), IBO projects that the city will pay 1.2 percent interest on its outstanding variable rate tax-exempt debt and 2.1 percent on its outstanding variable rate taxable debt in 2017, even though we expect the Federal Reserve to raise the funds rate later this year. These changes would reduce debt service costs by \$83 million in the current year. Similarly, IBO expects variable interest rates of 2.6 percent for tax-exempt debt and 4.5 percent for taxable debt in 2018, well below the rates forecast by the Mayor's Office of Management and Budget. These lower rates would reduce the cost of debt service in 2018 by \$113 million.

*Police Department:* The New York Police Department (NYPD) has historically overspent its budgeted overtime allocation. While the department has made a concerted effort to reduce overtime, IBO expects that the current budgeted amounts will still be inadequate to cover overtime

---

expenses. IBO estimates that the agency will require an additional \$25 million in 2017 and \$50 million in 2018 through 2021.

IBO's analysis of the department's federally funded budget assumes that the agency will receive additional funding in 2017 through 2021. In 2014 through 2016, the NYPD received an average of nearly \$300 million in federal funds per year. Assuming that the city will receive similar levels of federal funding in the coming years, IBO estimates that the NYPD will receive an additional \$75 million in federal funds for 2017 and \$200 million more in federal funding for each year 2018 through 2021. This estimate does not include any assumption about additional federal funding to cover security costs associated with protecting President Trump's private residence at Trump Tower.

*Fire Department:* Similar to the NYPD, the fire department historically underbudgets for overtime expenses. IBO estimates that the department will require an additional \$25 million in 2018 and \$50 million each year from 2019 through 2021.

IBO estimates the department's federal-funds budget will be \$50 million greater in 2017 and \$100 million greater in each year from 2018 through 2021. These additional funds bring those years more in line with the recent average annual federal-funds expenditure of \$171 million.

*Human Resources Administration:* The January financial plan includes approximately \$1.6 billion each year of the plan period for public assistance spending. Based on our forecast of public assistance caseloads, IBO estimates that the agency will spend \$48 million less in 2017 and \$38 million less in each subsequent year of the financial plan. This would result in lower city-funded spending of \$33 million in 2017 and \$26 million annually in 2018 through 2021.

*Board of Elections:* The city typically underbudgets the Board of Elections (BOE) for the out-years of the financial plan. While the agency's 2017 city-funds budget is currently \$130 million, its budget for each of the out-years of the plan is below \$100 million. Based on average spending levels in previous years, IBO estimates that the agency will require an additional \$25 million in each year from 2018 through 2021.

*Campaign Finance Board:* The agency's budget for 2018 totals \$14 million, slightly less than the current budget for the agency. The Campaign Finance Board incurs much larger costs in citywide election years than in other years. With 2018 being a citywide election year, IBO estimates

that the board will require an additional \$40 million to bring the agency's budget into line with expenditures in 2014, the last citywide election year.

### Citywide Savings Program

Over the last few years the de Blasio Administration has begun to formalize an internal budgetary process that invites agencies to present expenditure savings or revenue realization initiatives for inclusion in the recently designated Citywide Savings Program (CSP). The CSP presented in the January financial plan expands upon the savings plan put forward in the November financial plan. The January CSP identifies \$515 million of new reductions in expenditures and increased revenue for 2017 and \$581 million for 2018. These are in addition to the savings accrued in the CSP that accompanied the November plan. The combined total of the Citywide Savings Program across both the November and January financial plans is \$1.2 billion in 2017, \$894 million in 2018, \$686 million in 2019, \$690 million in 2020 and an estimated \$671 million in 2021. (OMB did not present savings in 2021 as part of the November CSP. To estimate the combined savings plan for 2021, IBO derived the value of savings in the November CSP for 2021 by projecting from that plan's 2020 savings levels.)

**Naturally Occurring Savings.** Based on IBO's analysis, much of the savings presented in the CSP would have occurred as part of the typical budget process and did not require any efficiency or productivity improvements by the agencies. IBO estimates that 11 percent of the total savings presented in the CSP for 2017 and 2018 is the result of agency efficiencies or productivity improvements and that share increases to 19 percent for the plan period as a whole. In contrast, 41 percent of the \$2.1 billion in savings presented for 2017 and 2018 is the result of modifications to debt service cost estimates or technical accounting adjustments. IBO estimates that an additional 43 percent of the CSP for 2017 and 2018 results from realizing new revenue, funding swaps (replacing city funds with funds from other sources), and spending re-estimates.

While nearly three-quarters of the initiatives presented in the Citywide Savings Program accrue savings through the entire financial plan period, IBO estimates that \$546 million—26 percent of the value of the initiatives in 2017 and 2018—produce savings only in the first two years of the plan. Excluding the value of the debt service cost savings that are primarily the product of reductions in interest rate assumptions rather than the result of actions taken by the Mayor, IBO estimates that 61 percent of the estimated \$4.1

billion of savings for 2017 through 2021 as presented in the November and January CSPs provide recurring savings.

The current iteration of the Citywide Savings Program differs from previous savings programs aimed at eliminating budget gaps or increasing surpluses. Past savings programs usually included a percentage target for each agency to produce either new revenue or reduce expenditures. In contrast, the current CSP encourages agencies to come up with initiatives but does not assign specific targets. Prior savings programs often included proposals that had effects on the agency's provision of service, created efficiencies, or increased productivity, often through reductions in headcount, or service cutback or elimination. While many of these savings programs were implemented during times of declining revenue and large budget shortfalls, some were done in relatively flush periods as an exercise in trimming city spending. The Mayor has indicated that the executive budget, due in April, will include another CSP with an additional \$500 million in newly identified savings.

The single largest category of savings in the CSP, accounting for over \$603 million of savings in 2017 and 2018 are reductions in debt service costs. These savings account for nearly 29 percent of the plan in the current and next year and 25 percent of the savings in 2017 through 2021. The assumption of new or increased revenue streams, such as from the sale of city property or from increased reimbursement rates, accounts for the second largest savings category, 19 percent of the savings in 2017

and 2018. Re-estimates of what it would cost for the city to provide certain services accounts for 13 percent of the savings in the current and next fiscal year and nearly 15 percent of the savings through the entire plan period. Other categories of savings in the CSP include \$258 million in 2017 for the recognition of revenue from prior years, \$53 million of reduced expenditures from accruals (savings from planned expenses that will not be needed, primarily in the current year), over \$217 million in savings in 2017 and 2018 from funding swaps, \$29 million in savings in the current and next fiscal year through the elimination of budgeted positions that are currently vacant, \$15 million in annual savings as a result of a change in the law that allows the city to reduce mandated staffing levels, and \$4 million in savings in 2017 and 2018 resulting from a delay in expenditures.

### Uncertainty Still Abounds

We are over a month into the Trump presidency and there still is very little clarity on how changes in policies in Washington could affect New York City's budget. OMB is assuming \$8.8 billion in revenue from the federal government for 2017. While federal revenue assumptions for the remainder of the plan period are lower than for the current year, the Mayor's budget office is still estimating approximately \$7 billion of revenue flowing from Washington in each year of the plan.

President Trump recently issued an executive order that allows federal agencies to withhold grants from municipalities if these cities refuse to cooperate with

<b>Citywide Savings Program, Fiscal Years 2017 through 2021</b>								
<i>Dollars in millions</i>								
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021*</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent of 2017-2018</b>	<b>Percent of 2017-2021</b>
Debt Service Savings	(\$235.4)	(\$367.7)	(\$155.7)	(\$155.5)	(\$136.5)	(\$1,050.7)	28.7%	25.3%
New Revenue	(275.3)	(123.7)	(122.1)	(122.1)	(122.1)	(765.2)	19.0%	18.5%
Spending Re-estimate	(178.0)	(102.7)	(109.8)	(107.5)	(107.5)	(605.5)	13.4%	14.6%
Prior-Year Revenue	(258.4)	-	-	-	-	(258.4)	12.3%	6.2%
Efficiency/Productivity	(48.2)	(171.6)	(179.3)	(186.1)	(186.4)	(771.7)	10.5%	18.6%
Funding Swap	(125.2)	(92.6)	(92.6)	(92.1)	(92.1)	(494.6)	10.4%	11.9%
Accruals	(52.8)	(4.3)	(0.6)	(0.6)	(0.6)	(58.8)	2.7%	1.4%
Law Change	(15.0)	(15.0)	(15.0)	(15.0)	(15.0)	(75.0)	1.4%	1.8%
Vacancies	(13.2)	(16.0)	(11.0)	(11.0)	(11.0)	(62.1)	1.4%	1.5%
Expenditure Delay	(3.8)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(5.2)	0.2%	0.1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>(\$1,205.3)</b>	<b>(\$893.8)</b>	<b>(\$686.4)</b>	<b>(\$690.3)</b>	<b>(\$671.5)</b>	<b>(\$4,147.3)</b>		

SOURCE: IBO analysis of Mayor's Office of Management and Budget data  
 NOTE: \*The Mayor's Office of Management did not present savings in 2021 as part of the November plan savings program. To estimate the combined savings plan for 2021, IBO derived the value of savings initiatives in the November plan for 2021 by projecting from that plan's 2020 savings levels. Figures may not sum due to rounding

*New York City Independent Budget Office*



---

federal immigration authorities' requests to transfer undocumented immigrants held in local custody to federal agencies. While the legality of such an order and how it would be implemented continues to be debated, the threat of any loss of federal funding still looms over the city's budget process. Grants for law enforcement and public safety may be the most at risk as their close connection to immigration enforcement would make it easier for the Trump Administration to argue that the cut in federal funds is related to immigration enforcement. Any decrease in federal funding would result in a decline in service provision or would necessitate additional city funding to continue the provision of services at current levels. While unlikely, if the Trump Administration were to withdraw all federal funds from the city in 2018, maintaining city services at current levels would require an 11 percent increase in total city funds.

Throughout his campaign and into his presidency, President Trump has made a series of policy pronouncements that, if implemented, could jeopardize funding for the city. Trump's support of block grants, his plans for large-scale changes in tax policy (including capping tax deductions), and his goal to eliminate the Affordable Care Act are just a few of the policies that could have major repercussions on New York City's budget. Currently there is very little understanding of how or when such policy changes will affect the city's finances.

**Growing Reserves, But Are They Sufficient?** The de Blasio Administration has embraced the budget strategy of maintaining robust reserves in the event of declines in revenues or increases in spending. The 2018 budget includes \$1 billion of general reserve funds and \$250 million of capital reserve funds. These funds are not allocated for specific expenditures and so can be used to cover agency expenses for which revenues may not be available. In addition to these budgeted reserves, the city can tap over \$4 billion in funds set aside to cover costs of health benefits for future city retirees. While these funds are only authorized to be spent on costs related to retiree health benefits, their use would free up other resources.

Although these reserve funds would enable the city to weather a small budgetary storm they would not buttress against a much longer budgetary event. Nor could the existing reserve funds replace the loss of all current federal funding.

**Financial Pressures Exist Locally as Well.** While the primary source of budgetary pressure is likely to come from the federal level, there are also concerns about circumstances

closer to home that could threaten budget balance. The continued slowdown in employment growth and weakness in real wage growth could prove to be more severe than IBO forecasts and missteps in fiscal policy could trigger higher-than-expected inflation that could cut off growth in the U.S. economy leading to declines in current tax revenue.

Later this year the Mayor and entire City Council (as well as the Public Advocate, Comptroller, and Borough Presidents) will be up for election. While there has so far been little "election-year" funding of new and enhanced programs, there is the potential for elected officials to push for large-scale funding of such items in the future.

The Mayor and City Council have already announced one new program since the release of the preliminary budget. The proposal, to provide free legal services to low-income tenants facing eviction proceedings in New York City Housing Court, would cost an additional \$93 million a year when fully implemented.

Recent appeals by members of the City Council for a subsidy to reduce the cost of the subway and bus fare for low-income riders have received support from many local officials. While Mayor de Blasio believes this subsidy should be paid for by the state, he has been rebuffed at every turn. Transit advocacy groups estimate that this plan would cost approximately \$200 million per year.

The de Blasio Administration touts the fact that nearly 100 percent of the city's labor force is currently working under existing contracts. Yet the city will soon need to begin contract negotiations for a new round of collective bargaining. The city's contract with one of its largest unions, District Council 37 (DC 37), which represents over 84,000 municipal employees, will expire in July 2017. In 2018 contracts with many of the other large municipal unions, including the United Federation of Teachers, will expire. Labor negotiations are complicated affairs and this round will likely be no exception. Further complicating matters is the fact that the first negotiations will occur during an election year. A contract settlement with DC 37 would likely set the pattern for the contracts with each of the other city unions. While the de Blasio Administration has stated that any increases in compensation above the raises of 1 percent a year that are currently budgeted in the city's labor reserve would need to be paid for by reductions in the cost of health care, the ability to achieve this goal is uncertain.

**Cautious Enough?** The preliminary budget and financial plan released by the de Blasio Administration in January 2017 reflects an even greater level of uncertainty than

---

most. The financial plan takes a cautious approach towards dealing with these uncertainties, with conservative estimates of revenue growth, few new expense needs, a citywide savings plan and increased reserve funds. IBO's analysis concludes that in certain areas the plan underestimates the potential cost of service provision while in others the plan underestimates certain revenue streams.

With tax revenue hewing close to projections when the budget was adopted, rather than surplus revenue, the Mayor has been forced to rely primarily on expenditure reductions to balance the budget and reduce out-year gaps.

In the coming months, the lack of confidence in the continuity of federal funding and the potential economic and financial consequences of federal policy changes may compel the city to take a more aggressive approach towards savings programs. Future savings programs may require increased reliance on efficiency and productivity savings allowing the city to avoid actual reductions in service provision or tax increases.

Share on



Receive notification of IBO's free reports by  
[E-mail](#) [Text](#) [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [RSS](#)

**IBO Expenditure Projections***Dollars in millions*

	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021</b>	<b>Average Change</b>
<b>Agency Expenditures</b>	<b>60,742</b>	<b>60,626</b>	<b>61,309</b>	<b>61,619</b>	<b>61,931</b>	<b>0.5%</b>
Fringe Benefits	9,606	10,258	10,981	11,920	12,701	7.2%
Labor Reserve	343	1,030	1,999	2,358	2,713	n/a
<b>Total Agency Expenditures</b>	<b>\$70,691</b>	<b>\$71,914</b>	<b>\$74,289</b>	<b>\$75,897</b>	<b>\$77,345</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
<b>Other Expenditures</b>						
Debt Service	\$5,723	\$3,366	\$7,098	\$7,960	\$8,372	7.3%*
Pensions	9,413	9,819	10,100	10,152	10,170	2.0%
Judgments and Claims	676	692	707	725	725	1.8%
General Reserve	300	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	n/a
Capital Stabilization Reserve	-	250	250	250	250	n/a
Expenditure Adjustments	10	51	160	279	408	n/a
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$86,813</b>	<b>\$87,092</b>	<b>\$93,604</b>	<b>\$96,263</b>	<b>\$98,270</b>	<b>3.1%</b>
Less: Intra-City Expenditures	(\$2,039)	(\$1,786)	(\$1,781)	(\$1,787)	(\$1,787)	n/a
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>\$84,774</b>	<b>\$85,306</b>	<b>\$91,823</b>	<b>\$94,476</b>	<b>\$96,483</b>	<b>3.3%</b>

NOTES: \*Represents the annual average change after adjusting for prepayments and debt defeasances. Expenditure adjustments include energy, lease, and non-labor inflation adjustments. Expenditure totals are inclusive of intra-city expenses. Figures may not add due to rounding.

*New York City Independent Budget Office*

**IBO Revenue Projections***Dollars in millions*

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Average Change
<b>Tax Revenue</b>						
Property	\$24,267	\$26,108	\$27,791	\$29,430	\$31,046	6.4%
Personal Income	11,184	11,526	11,977	12,541	13,199	4.2%
General Sales	6,945	7,175	7,515	7,955	8,250	4.4%
General Corporation	3,853	3,896	4,022	4,098	4,174	2.0%
Unincorporated Business	2,057	2,127	2,201	2,269	2,377	3.7%
Real Property Transfer	1,505	1,535	1,621	1,717	1,788	4.4%
Mortgage Recording	1,113	1,059	1,130	1,154	1,201	1.9%
Utility	374	385	393	405	413	2.5%
Hotel Occupancy	587	611	634	658	681	3.8%
Commercial Rent	820	851	876	899	939	3.4%
Cigarette	42	40	38	36	35	-4.5%
Other Taxes and Audits	1,696	1,447	1,318	1,318	1,318	-6.1%
<b>Total Taxes</b>	<b>\$54,445</b>	<b>\$56,761</b>	<b>\$59,517</b>	<b>\$62,480</b>	<b>\$65,420</b>	<b>4.7%</b>
<b>Other Revenue</b>						
STaR Reimbursement	\$556	\$535	\$533	\$531	\$529	-1.2%
Miscellaneous Revenue	6,835	6,362	6,602	6,804	6,807	-0.1%
Unrestricted Intergovernmental Aid	57	-	-	-	-	n/a
Less: Intra-City Revenue	(2,039)	(1,786)	(1,781)	(1,787)	(1,787)	n/a
Disallowances	200	(15)	(15)	(15)	(15)	n/a
<b>Total Other Revenue</b>	<b>\$5,609</b>	<b>\$5,096</b>	<b>\$5,339</b>	<b>\$5,533</b>	<b>\$5,534</b>	<b>-0.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL CITY-FUNDED REVENUE</b>	<b>\$60,054</b>	<b>\$61,857</b>	<b>\$64,856</b>	<b>\$68,013</b>	<b>\$70,954</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
State Categorical Grants	\$14,369	\$14,518	\$14,979	\$15,376	\$15,690	2.2%
Federal Categorical Grants	8,947	7,371	7,171	7,168	7,140	-5.5%
Other Categorical Aid	999	902	893	884	880	-3.1%
Interfund Revenue	655	658	658	596	594	-2.4%
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<b>\$85,024</b>	<b>\$85,306</b>	<b>\$88,557</b>	<b>\$92,037</b>	<b>\$95,258</b>	<b>2.9%</b>

NOTES: Remaining banking corporation tax revenues reported with general corporation tax. Figures may not add due to rounding.

*New York City Independent Budget Office*

<b>IBO versus Mayor's Office of Management and Budget Economic Forecasts</b>						
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021</b>
<b>National Economy</b>						
Real GDP Growth						
IBO	1.6	2.4	2.6	2.3	1.9	1.7
OMB	1.6	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.2
Inflation Rate						
IBO	1.3	2.8	2.7	3.1	2.8	2.2
OMB	1.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.6
Personal Income Growth						
IBO	3.5	4.7	5.4	5.3	4.7	3.7
OMB	3.6	4.8	5.2	5.3	4.9	4.7
Unemployment Rate						
IBO	4.9	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.6	5.1
OMB	4.9	4.6	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.3
10-Year Treasury Bond Rate						
IBO	1.8	2.9	3.7	4.3	4.3	4.1
OMB	1.8	2.8	3.4	3.9	4.1	4.1
Federal Funds Rate						
IBO	0.4	1.0	1.9	3.4	3.7	3.3
OMB	0.4	0.9	1.7	2.6	3.0	3.0
<b>New York City Economy</b>						
Nonfarm New Jobs (thousands)						
IBO (cumulative)	70.1	61.1	55.0	49.3	45.9	41.3
IBO (annual average)	91.9	54.5	55.5	52.6	44.3	44.0
OMB (annual average)	88.8	55.5	37.5	34.1	32.4	29.6
Nonfarm Employment Growth						
IBO (cumulative)	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.0
IBO (annual average)	2.2	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.0
OMB (annual average)	2.1	1.3	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.7
Inflation Rate (CPI-U-NY)						
IBO	1.1	2.7	2.9	3.2	3.0	2.5
OMB	1.1	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.6
Personal Income (\$ billions)						
IBO	557.8	583.2	605.8	629.0	654.0	680.2
OMB	556.9	578.6	601.4	625.8	650.9	675.7
Personal Income Growth						
IBO	3.2	4.5	3.9	3.8	4.0	4.0
OMB	3.1	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.8
Manhattan Office Rents (\$/sq.ft)						
IBO	78.2	80.7	81.5	82.3	83.1	83.9
OMB	79.6	80.5	79.5	79.8	80.0	80.0

SOURCE: IBO; Mayor's Office of Management and Budget

NOTES: Rates reflect year-over-year percentage changes except for unemployment, 10-Year Treasury Bond Rate, Federal Funds Rate, and Manhattan Office Rents. The local price index for urban consumers (CPI-U-NY) covers the New York/Northern New Jersey region. Personal income is nominal.

*New York City Independent Budget Office*